

**Committee: Cabinet**

**Agenda Item**

**Date: 26 March 2013**

**16**

**Title: Proposed Traffic Regulation Orders**

**Portfolio Holder: Cllr Barker**

**Key decision: No**

---

## **Summary**

1. The North Essex Parking Partnership (NEPP) is responsible for the designation and enforcement of on street parking as well as off-street parking. The Partnership works under delegated powers from Essex County Council as Highway Authority and from the constituent District Councils including Uttlesford. The work of the Partnership is overseen and directed by the NEPP Board consisting of Councillors from the constituent Councils.
2. The process for considering and designating Traffic Regulation Orders (TROs) has recently been changed by the NEPP Board and is attached at Appendix 1. Central to the new process is the consideration of the proposed TROs by the individual authorities.
3. The report introduces a range of proposed TROs. Uttlesford needs to consider the proposed TROs and recommend which ones it wishes to pursue to the NEPP Board. The NEPP Board will make the final decision.

## **Recommendations**

4. Approve the TROs numbered 1, 3 and 5 and recommend to the NEPP Board that they are implemented.
5. Agree not to reconsider declined schemes for a period of 5 years except in the case of exceptional circumstances.

## **Financial Implications**

6. Schemes which are supported by Cabinet and subsequently by the NEPP Board will require advertising and there are costs associated with implementation (e.g. signs and lines). All costs associated with the TROs are covered by the NEPP budget.

## **Background Papers**

7. The following papers were referred to by the author in the preparation of this report and are available for inspection from the author of the report.

Proposed Traffic Regulation Order assessment by North Essex Parking Partnership

## Impact

8.

Communication/Consultation	The suggestions have come from Parish Councils, Councillors and members of the public. If approved by NEPP formal notification will occur.
Community Safety	Inherent to the assessment process.
Equalities	Inherent to the assessment process.
Health and Safety	Inherent to the assessment process.
Human Rights/Legal Implications	None identified
Sustainability	Inherent to the assessment process.
Ward-specific impacts	District wide.
Workforce/Workplace	Work carried out by NEPP.

## Situation

9. The report introduces a range of proposed TROs. Uttlesford needs to consider the proposed TROs and recommend which ones it wishes to pursue to the NEPP Board. The NEPP Board will make the final decision.
10. The proposals have been assessed by NEPP officers and scored in accordance with the adopted methodology. This provides a score out of 100 so that competing schemes can be fairly assessed against other schemes. A score of less than 30 would not normally result in a scheme being supported.

No.	Location	Proposal	Comment	Score
1	<b>Normansfield Gt Dunmow</b>	<b>Additional yellow lining to ensure access for larger vehicles</b>	<b>Acceptable and supportable proposal.</b>	<b>26</b>
2	The Maltings, Gt Dunmow	Residents parking	Typical parking conditions and only single request for action. Should not be supported.	22
3	<b>Catons Lane Saffron Walden</b>	<b>Residents parking</b>	<b>Logical extension to existing residents parking area. Support</b>	<b>27</b>
4	Chaters Hill Saffron Walden	Introduction of residents parking	Single request, not considered to be a significant issue for area. Should not be supported.	22
5	<b>High Stile Gt Dumow</b>	<b>Amendments to school restrictions</b>	<b>Lines already in existence. TRO amendments needed. Support</b>	*
6	Harrisons, Birchanger	Introduce yellow lines	Typical parking conditions and only single request for action. Should not be supported.	11
7	Hawthorn Close, Takeley	Introduce yellow lines	Typical parking conditions and no harm to highway safety. Should not be supported at this stage but will be subject to ongoing monitoring.	22
8	Watts Yard, Manuden	Introduce yellow lines	Typical parking conditions. Should not be supported.	24
9	Watling Lane, Thaxted	Request for loading bay	Existing unloading facilities to front of premises. Should not be supported.	20

**Risk Analysis**

11.

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigating actions
Complaints raised regarding schemes not supported.	2. There will be some complaints raised.	1. Loss of credibility and disappointment.	<p>Assessment criteria have been considered to assess the schemes. This ensures consistency across the Partnership.</p> <p>NEEPP will explain the decision to those who raised the concerns.</p>

- 1 = Little or no risk or impact
- 2 = Some risk or impact – action may be necessary.
- 3 = Significant risk or impact – action required
- 4 = Near certainty of risk occurring, catastrophic effect or failure of project.